DYNAMIS SP4 Storage of CO₂

> Yann Le Gallo. IFP SP4 leader

CASTOR-ENCAP-CACHET-DYNAMIS Common Technical Training Workshop 22 - 24 January 2008, IFP-Lyon







Objectives of the work

- Generate the basis for recommendations of plausible storage sites for CO₂ and industrial alternatives (EOR – EGR)
- storage sites constraints :
 - suitable time: be ready when needed EOR/EGR
 - suitable size: at least 60 Mt of CO_2 i.e. 2 Mt/y
 - suitable storability: long enough residence time for CO₂
 - suitable environment : HSE
 - suitable accessibility : distance to source, regulatory, economics





SP4 partners







CO₂ storage within Dynamis







SP4: Layout of working plan







WP4.1 main results





Criteria list (1/2)

- Location of site compared to Power/Hydrogen Market
- Plant size (30-year operation):
 - Gas power plant (~2 Mt/y) => 60 MtCO₂
 - Coal power plant (~3.3 Mt/y) => 100 MtCO₂
- Availability of site by 2012
- Variety of geological conditions
- Variety of storage types















Criteria list (2/2)

- Depth > 800 m or initial pressure> 80 bar or supercritical CO₂
- Total storage capacity > 60 Mt CO₂
- Injectivity > 2.0 Mt CO₂ per year
- Integrity of seal in terms of thickness, faults etc.







Dynamis

CASTOR-ENCAP-CACHET-DYNAMIS 22 - 24 January 2008, IFP-Lyon

FUNDED BY THE EUROPEAN UNION SIXTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME

Short list of storages

- -UK: Hewett (237), Indefatigable (357), Amethyst (63), Structure 42/5 (836)
- Germany: Greifswalder Bodden (443), Scheinwrich (432)
- France: Paris Basin Trias (659), Dogger (8.64 - 4320)
- **Denmark**: Gassum (705), Horsens (490), Vedsted (320)
- Norway: Frigg (363), Gullfaks (272), Heimdal (107), Odin (102), Utsira...





Conclusions from WP4.1

- 16 sites on the short list
- Key parameters in selection:
 - depth
 - storage capacity
 - injectivity





WP4.2 Design and operational specifications of conceptual CO₂ storage sites





Data collection and Clustering analysis

- Use the (US) oil industry experience
- Cluster the storage by classes based upon dimensionless numbers and preserve the parameter (field) variations
 - 16 sites from WP4.1
 - 80 sites pre qualified in the data base
 - 5 additional from SP5: 4 aquifers + 1 oil field





Preliminary Conclusions of WP5.2

- Publicly available data is scare in particular for aquifer e.g. no indication of heterogeneities or internal structure
- Proposed dimensionless analysis is falling short due to lack of data (publicly available)





