

HYPOTHESIS TESTING OF 3D CO-LOCALIZATION OF GENOMIC ELEMENTS

ABSTRACT

The three-dimensional (3D) structure of chromatin is crucial for fundamental processes in the nucleus. We are interested in evaluating whether certain regions in the genome are spatially closer to each other than what would be expected by chance. This problem has recently been studied by Witten et al. 2012. In Paulsen et al. 2013 we addressed the important issue of dependencies between interaction frequencies in 3D datasets when estimating p-values.

Hi-C Data

Let genomic element a_i be the element that starts on base pair i on chromosome a. Let $X_{a_ib_i}$ be the interaction frequency between genomic elements a_i and b_i , the number of times the Hi-C method detects that a_i and b_i are spatially close (X is normalized and do not need to be an integer)





Figure 1: Cross-linking the DNA (left) and the use of nextgeneration sequencing determine the interaction frequency $X_{a_i b_j}$. The matrix (right) of one arm on chromosome 14, show all possible interaction frequencies $X_{14_i, 14_j}$. Both figures by Lieberman-Aiden et al. 2009

BIG DATA: Using resolution (bin size 100k base pairs) on the human genome with about 3.2 billions base pairs, gives us 32000 bins and 500 millions possible interactions.

Highly dependent data

The following dependencies are taken into account in the hypothesis test:

- The expectation $E(X|\delta)$ and standard deviation $sd(X|\delta)$ are dependent on δ (see Figure 2, 3).
- The dependency between pairs of X are high if the genomic elements are sequential close along the genome (Transitive relation).
- X is highly dependent on the GC-content (Lieberman-Aiden et al. 2009) and the sequential positioning of its genomic elements along the chromosome (Imakaev et al. 2012).



action.



Figure 2: The sequential dis- Figure 3: The sample mean $\hat{E}(X|\delta)$ and sample tance corresponding to an inter- standard deviation $\hat{sd}(X|\delta)$ of an interaction frequency X.

Jonas Paulsen¹, Tonje G. Lien², Geir Kjetil Sandve², Lars Holden³, Ørnulf Borgan², Ingrid K. Glad² and Eivind Hovig^{1,2} Oslo University Hospital, Norway, ² University of Oslo, Norway, ³ Statistics For Innovation, Norwegian Computing Center, Norway

$$t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{a_i, b_j \in Q} \frac{x_{a_i b_j} - \hat{E}}{\hat{sd}(X)}$$







Software

All algorithms have been implemented in a publicly available



Result

	You asked: Are the linked points in 'Linked fusion trascripts' closer in 3D (as defined by 'IMR90-1M (IMR90)') than expected by chance?
ack?	<u>Simplistic answer:</u> Yes - the data suggests this (p-value: 0.004975)
	Precise answer: The p-value is 0.004975 for the test
	H0: The linked elements in the query track have the same 3D co-localization as a random set of linked elements in the query track
1	vs H1: The linked elements in the query track have more 3D co-localization than a random set of linked elements in the query track
	Low p-values are evidence against H0.

Expanded testing

In the following up manuscript Paulsen, J. et al. 2014 (in press)

	Query tracks	Format	Statistical question Pern	Permutation	
f		LP	Linked elements more/less co-localized in 3D?	Links	
-		LVP	Linked elements more/less co-localized in 3D? (maintaining values)	Links	
-		LP (c/c)	Case-links more/less co-localized in 3D?	Labels	
5		2 x LGP	Identify significant differences between two 3D tracks	N/A	

Summary of Paulsen et al. 2013

We find strong dependency in interaction frequencies between contacts with low sequence-based distance which strongly affect the p-value estimation. To obtain valid and biologically meaningful p-value, it is essential to take such dependencies into account in the resampling steps. In addition we handle intra- and inter-chromosomal interactions both separately and jointly. The results are presented with p-values and enrichment scores. All software is available on-

References