What do fault statistics tell us regarding causes resulting in power outages?

Gerd H. Kjølle

Professor II Dept. of Electric Power Eng., NTNU Senior Research Scientist, SINTEF Energy Research

NTNU



Content of presentation

- FASIT The Norwegian standard for reliability data collection and reporting
- Faults and interruptions (power outages) definitions
- Highlights from the fault statistics 1 420 kV
 - Number of events and energy not supplied 1989 2010
 - Fault causes 2007 2010
 - Component faults and fault rates
- Interruptions and cost of energy not supplied (CENS)
- Large disturbances (high impact)
- Brief comparison Nordic countries





Standard for collection, calculation and reporting – FASIT

- Introduced in 1995
- Used by all network companies in Norway
- 6 software vendors
- Software quality assurance (contracts and acceptance test)











FASIT – reliability data classes





Workshop DNV – NTNU 2011-09-27 Risk and vulnerabilities in power systems in light of climate change SINTEF

Interruption – definition (EN 50160)

- Condition in which the voltage at the supply terminals is lower than 5 % of the reference voltage.
- A supply interruption can be classified as
 - prearranged, when network users are informed in advance, or
 - accidental, caused by permanent or transient faults, mostly related to external events, equipment failures or interference. An accidental interruption is classified as:
 - a long interruption (longer than 3 min);
 - a short interruption (up to and including 3 min)

Power outage = interruption in this presentation





Fault – definition

- Fault is the state of an item characterized by inability to perform a required function (IEC)
- Fault causes may be related to construction, production, installation, use or maintenance causing fault on the unit
- Fault causes may be classified in triggering, underlying or contributing causes
- Faults are divided in
 - Permanent (corrective maintenance/repair)
 - Transient/ temporary (no corrective maintenance/repair, reconnection of breaker or replacement of fuse)





Power system levels – Norway



NTNU



Number of events 1 – 420 kV



About 25000 events per year > 95 % in the distribution network, ~ 50 % disturbances



Energy not supplied 1 – 420 kV



~ 80 % caused by faults in the distribution network



per year



Triggering fault causes 1 – 22 kV 2007 - 2010

Weather and unknown/not clarified fault causes dominate

No of disturbances:

Energy not supplied (ENS):





Workshop DNV – NTNU 2011-09-27 <u>Risk and vulnerabilities in power systems</u> in light of climate change

() SINTEF

Triggering fault causes 33 – 420 kV 2007 – 2010

Weather , technical equipment and human errors dominate

Energy not supplied (ENS):

No of disturbances:



Component faults 1 – 22 kV 2007 – 2010







Component faults 33 – 420 kV 2007 – 2010



Workshop DNV – NTNU 2011-09-27 Risk and vulnerabilities in power systems in light of climate change

D NTNU



Fault rate for overhead lines 1 – 22 kV 1989 – 2005







Fault rate overhead lines 1 – 22 kV 2007 – 2010



1 – 22kV: 6 – 7 faults per 100 km per year





Fault rate overhead lines 33 – 420 kV 1989 – 2005





NTNI



Fault rate overhead lines 33 – 420 kV 2007 – 2010



420kV – 33 kV: ~ 0,5 - 1 faults per 100 km per year





Interruptions 2005 – 2010, long interruptions > 3 minutes





About 3 long interruptions and 3 – 4 hours per year per delivery point





Interruptions 2006 – 2010, short interruptions ≤ 3 minutes



About 2,5 short interruptions and 2 minutes per year per delivery point





Cost of energy not supplied caused by different system levels



Distribution counts for 78 %





Normal/frequent events vs major events (large disturbances, HILP)



Fault statistics mainly give information about normal/frequent events





Examples of large disturbances (blackouts), Nordic, Europe, Canada



NTNU



More examples, Norway, US/Canada...



Project Vulnerability and security in a changing power system, Nfr/SINTEF Energi, 2009 - 2012



Ewergettop DNV25N7NU2009127 Risk and vulnerabilities in power systems in light of climate change



Fault causes major events - examples

- "Western Norway", February 2004, 300 kV
- Breakage of line joint
 - Delayed protection response
- Causes:
 - Construction fault
 - Degradation of components
 - "Inappropriate" protection



- Steigen, January 2007, 66 kV
- Breakdown of both overhead lines
- Causes :
 - Storm, icing
 - Construction fault
 - Degradation (ageing)







Comparison Nordic countries



Grid disturbances

Distribution of grid disturbances according to cause



Figure 3.1 Number of grid disturbances in each Nordel country during the period Figure 3.3 Percentage distribution of grid disturbances according to cause in 2008. 1999–2008.

ENTSO-E Nordic Grid disturbance and fault statistics 2008





Comparison Nordic countries

ENS divided into different voltage levels in 2008



Figure 4.1 Energy not supplied (ENS) in terms of the voltage level of the initiating fault in 2008.



ENS divided into different voltage levels during the period 2000-2008

Figure 4.2 Energy not supplied (ENS) in terms of the voltage level of the initiating fault during the period 2000–2008.

ENTSO-E Nordic Grid disturbance and fault statistics 2008

NTNU



Comparison Nordic countries

Fault trend for 220-400 kV overhead lines



Figure 5.4 Fault trend for overhead lines at voltage level 220-400 kV.



Figure 5.5 Fault trend for overhead lines at voltage level 132 kV.

ENTSO-E Nordic Grid disturbance and fault statistics 2008





Extra slides





Software certification: FASIT test network Ε



U.S. and Canada, August 14, 2003

Simplified description of the event

1. 12.15-14.54:

Malfunctioning software systems limiting the operators situation awareness and control (State Estimator, SCADA alarm and logging, EMS terminals and server)

2. <u>13</u>.31:

Trip of important generation increases loading on lines

3. 14.02-16.05:

Tripping of highly loaded lines, with premature tripping of many lines due to inadequate vegetation management

4. 16.06-16.11:

This eventually caused instability, triggering cascaded tripping, separating the Eastern Interconnection into two asynchronous areas

5. 16.11-16.13:

Large differences between load and generation, led to instability and blackout of the island consisting of parts of Northeastern U.S and Ontario

Time between triggering event and cascaded tripping ~ 4 h



Ref.: Final Report on the 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada: Causes and Recommendations, U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force

NTNU



U.S. and Canada, August 14, 2003

Threats

- Malfunction of computer systems for system operation
- Overgrown vegetation
- Inadequate system understanding, operator training and clarification of responsibility
- Inadequate protection system/ scheme
- Final consequences for endusers
 - 50 million people affected
 - 61 800 MW lost, 350 000 MWh lost

Ref.: Final Report on the 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada: Caus

US / Canada, August 14 2003

Overview of the course of events.1 The map highlights the affected regions.



	Aug 14		System in normal state, within prescribed limits. High, but not abnormally high loads
	12:15	Failure (info)	Erroneous input data put the Midwest Independent System Operator's state estimator and real time contingency analysis tool out of service
	13:31	Failure	Generator at Eastlake power plant trips - loss of important source of reactive power
	14:02	Failure	345 kV line trips due to tree contact caused by high temperature and line-sagging
	14:14	Failure (info)	Control room operators at First Energy looses the alarm function (with no one in the control room realising this)
	15:05 – 15:41	Failures	Three 345 kV lines into the Cleveland-Akron area trips due to tree contact. Loads on other lines increase
	15:42		Operators at First Energy begin to realise that their computer system is out of order and that the network is in serious jeopardy.
		Failures	Decreased voltage and increased loading of the underlying 138 kV system, causes 16 lines to fail in rapid order
	16:06	Failure	Loss of the 345 kV Sammis-Star line between eastern and northern Ohio due to overload. Triggers the cascade
		Casaada	Uncontrolled power surges and overload causes relays to trip lines and generators. Northeastern US and Ontario form a large electrical island, which quickly becomes unstable due to lack of generation capacity to meet the demand.
		Cascaue	Further tripping of lines and generators breaks the area into several electric islands, and most of these black out completely. Some smaller islands with sufficient generation manage to stabilize.
	16:13		Cascade over. 50 million people deprived of power
	Aug 15	Restoration	Approx 80 % of the energy restored
S	Aug 22		Restoration completed





U.S. and Canada, August 14, 2003

Vulnerabilities

- Lack of sufficient tools, competence and standards, leading to:
- Inadequate situational awareness
- Insufficient diagnostic support from the interconnected grid's reliability coordinator (MISO)
- Barriers to prevent component failure
 - Vegetation management
 - Monitoring of lines and operation to prevent overload.
- Barriers to prevent power system failure
 - Situation awareness and response of TSOs, operator training
 - Computer tools for monitoring, and back-up systems for these
 - Reliability standards and clear areas of responsibility; ensure operation within secure limits
 - Information sharing between TSOs

Ref.: Final Report on the 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada: Causes and Recommendations, U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force



