## **Multiscale Methods for Flow in Porous Media**





### Knut–Andreas Lie SINTEF ICT, Dept. Applied Mathematics



Applied Mathematics

CENS-CMA - p. 1

## **Scales in porous media**

Porous media often have repetitive layered structures, but faults and fractures caused by stresses in the rock disrupt flow patterns.







## Scales in porous media, cont'd





## Scales in porous media, cont'd

The scales that impact fluid flow in oil reservoirs range from

- the micrometer scale of pores and pore channels
- via dm-m scale of well bores and laminae sediments
- to sedimentary structures that stretch across entire reservoirs.





## **Reservoir simulation**

Two-phase flow, modelled by continuity equation for each phase and Darcy's law

$$\phi \partial_t S_i + \nabla \cdot v_i = q_i, \qquad v_i = -k\lambda_i \nabla p_i$$

Model reformulation: pressure and saturation equation

$$-\nabla (k\lambda(S)\nabla p) = q, \qquad v = -k\lambda(S)\nabla p,$$
  
$$\phi \partial_t S + \nabla \cdot (vf(S)) = 0$$

Need for fast (desktop) simulations for decision support:

predictions of production, history matching, ranking, uncertainty, process optimisation,...



## **Geo(logical) model**

Geomodels consist of rock parameters k and  $\phi$ .

- k spans many length scales and has multiscale structure,
- details on all scales impact flow



Gap between simulation and geomodels:

- High-resolution geomodels may have  $10^7 10^9$  cells
- Conventional (FV/FD) simulators are capable of about  $10^5 10^6$  cells

Traditional solution: upscaling



## **Upscaling the pressure equation**

Assume that *u* satisfies the elliptic PDE:

$$-\nabla \big(a(x)\nabla u\big) = f.$$

Upscaling amounts to finding a new field  $a^{\ast}(\bar{x})$  on a coarser grid such that

$$-\nabla \big(a^*(\bar{x})\nabla u^*\big) = \bar{f},$$

$$u^* \sim \bar{u}, \qquad q^* \sim \bar{q} \;.$$



Here the overbar denotes averaged quantities on a coarse grid.



## **Upscaling permeability**

How do we represent fine-scale heterogeneities on a coarse scale?

- Arithmetic, geometric, harmonic, or power averaging  $(\frac{1}{|V|} \int_V a(x)^p dx)^{1/p}$
- Equivalent permeabilities (  $a^*_{xx} = -Q_x L_x / \Delta P_x$  )





## Multiscale simulation rather than upscaling?



- Upscaling the geomodel is not always the answer
  - Loss of details and lack of robustness
  - Bottleneck in the workflow
- Need for fine-scale computations?
- In the future: need for multiphysics on multiple scales?



## **Mixed formulation of the pressure equation:**

Find  $(v, p) \in H_0^{1, \operatorname{div}} \times L^2$  such that

$$\int (\lambda K)^{-1} u \cdot v dx - \int p \nabla \cdot u dx = 0, \qquad \forall u \in H_0^{1, \operatorname{div}},$$
$$\int l \nabla \cdot v dx = \int q l dx, \quad \forall l \in L^2.$$

**Multiscale discretisation:** Seek solutions in low-dimensional subspaces

$$U^{ms} \subset H_0^{1,\operatorname{div}} \text{ and } V \in L^2,$$

where local fine scale properties are incorporated into the basis functions.



## Multiscale mixed finite element method



For the MsMFEM the fine scale velocity field is a linear superposition of the base functions:  $v = \sum_{ij} v_{ij}^* \psi_{ij}$ .



Applied Mathematics

We assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine grid block.





We assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine grid block.



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces V and  $U^{ms}$  such that:



We assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine grid block.



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces V and  $U^{ms}$  such that:

• For each coarse block  $T_i$ , there is a basis function  $\phi_i \in V$ .



We assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine grid block.



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces V and  $U^{ms}$  such that:

- For each coarse block  $T_i$ , there is a basis function  $\phi_i \in V$ .
- For each coarse edge  $\Gamma_{ij}$ , there is a basis function  $\psi_{ij} \in U^{ms}$ .



## **Basis functions for the velocity field**

For each coarse edge  $\Gamma_{ij}$  define a basis function

$$\psi_{ij}: T_i \cup T_j \to R^2$$

with unit flux through  $\Gamma_{ij}$ , and no flow across  $\partial(T_i \cup T_j)$ .

We use  $\psi_{ij} = -\lambda K \nabla \phi_{ij}$  with



$$\nabla \cdot \psi_{ij} = \begin{cases} w_{ij}(x), & \text{for } x \in T_i, \\ -w_{ij}(x), & \text{for } x \in T_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

with boundary conditions  $\psi_{ij} \cdot n = 0$  on  $\partial(T_i \cup T_j)$ .

Global boundary conditions: specify  $v|_{\Gamma_{ij}}$  if known initially

## **MsMFEM velocity basis**

Homogeneous coefficients and rectangular support domain: basis function = lowest order Raviart-Thomas basis

MsMFEM = extension to cases with subscale variation in coefficients and non-rectangular support domain



x-component of the 2D basis function



Applied Mathematics

## **MsMFEM properties**

#### **Multiscale:**

Incorporates small-scale effects into coarse-scale solution

#### **Conservative:**

Mass conservative on a subgrid scale

#### Scalable:

Well suited for parallel implementation since basis functions are processed independently

#### Flexible:

No restrictions on subgrids and subgrid numerical method. Few restrictions on the shape of the coarse blocks

#### Fast:

The method is fast when avoiding regeneration of (most of) the basis functions at every time step



# Numerical examples: SPE 10th CSP

#### Industrial benchmark for upscaling:



 $60 \times 220 \times 85$  grid,  $\lambda_w \propto S^2$ ,  $\lambda_o \propto (1 - S)^2$ ,  $\mu_o = 3.0$  cP,  $\mu_w = 0.3$  cP 2000 days of production at bhp 4000 psi. Injection: 5000 bbl/day.

# In the following we consider both 2D subsets and the full 3D case.



## **2D section from Upper Ness**



Nested gridding: upscale  $(k\lambda)$ , solve for pressure and then subgrid problem for velocities, i.e., a method with subscale resolution but *without coupling* between the fine and coarse scale



CENS-CMA - p. 17

## **The SPE benchmark results**

#### Producer A:



nonpseudo upscaling

pseudo upscaling



Applied Mathematics

## MSMFEM results (coarse grid: 5 x 11 x 17)



CENS-CMA - p. 19

## **Robust wrt coarse-grid size**

Logarithm of horizontal permeability



Coarse grid (12 x 44) saturation profile



Coarse grid (6 x 22) saturation profile



Coarse grid (3 x 11) saturation profile



Reference saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile



#### MsMFEM saturation profile





## **Resolves strongly heterogeneous structures**

Logarithm of  $k_x$ Reference -3 -4 -5 **MsMFEM**  $k_{red} = 10^4$  $k_{yellow} = 1$  $k_{blue} = 10^{-8}$ 

0.4 0.3 0.2 

**MsFVM** 



LGU-NG





Coarse grid =  $8 \times 8$ .

0.4

0.3

0.2

## **Grid refinement is straightforward**



#### Uniform coarse grid





Non-uniform grid





() SINTEF

**Applied Mathematics** 

CENS-CMA - p. 22

## **Irregular and ustructured grids**



() SINTEF

Applied Mathematics

tics

## Irregular and ustructured grids, cont'd





CENS-CMA - p. 24