A multiscale framework for modeling flow in porous media with multiscale structures



Jørg Espen Aarnes, SINTEF ICT Yalchin Efendiev, Texas A&M

8th European Multigrid Conference Scheveningen The Hague, The Netherlands This work is part of the **GeoScale Research Project**:

Develop a numerical methodology that facilitates reservoir simulation studies on multi-million cell geological models.

Simulations should run within a few hours on desktop computers.



This work is part of the **GeoScale Research Project**:

Develop a numerical methodology that facilitates reservoir simulation studies on multi-million cell geological models.

Simulations should run within a few hours on desktop computers.

A cornerstone in the project is a multiscale mixed finite element method (MsMFEM) that models pressure and filtration velocity.



This work is part of the **GeoScale Research Project**:

Develop a numerical methodology that facilitates reservoir simulation studies on multi-million cell geological models.

Simulations should run within a few hours on desktop computers.

A cornerstone in the project is a multiscale mixed finite element method (MsMFEM) that models pressure and filtration velocity.

To model the transport we explore two different strategies:

- streamline methods for convection dominated flow.
- an adaptive multiscale finite volume method.



Geological models

Geological reservoir models give a geometric reservoir description and a plausible distribution of rock permeability - the rocks ability to transmit fluid - and porosity - the volume fraction open to flow.





Geological models may contain 10^6-10^9 grid cells and are often characterized by large contrasts in the permeability field.



3 of 24

Simulation models

For presentational simplicity we consider a model for incompressible and immiscible two-phase flow without gravity and capillary forces:

$$\nabla \cdot k[\lambda_w(S) + \lambda_o(S)]\nabla p = q$$

$$\phi \partial_t S + \nabla \cdot (f_w v) = q_w.$$

Here k denotes permeability, λ_i the mobility of phase i, ϕ porosity, p pressure, S water saturation, $f_w = \lambda_w/(\lambda_w + \lambda_o)$ the fraction of water in the flowing fluid, and $v = v_w + v_o$ the total Darcy velocity.

Reservoir simulation models usually consist of 10^4 – 10^6 grid blocks.



4 of 24

Traditional reservoir simulation





Reservoir simulation using multiscale methods





Multiscale mixed finite element methods

In a mixed FEM formulation one seeks $v \in V$ and $p \in U$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} k^{-1} v \cdot u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} p \, \nabla \cdot u \, dx = 0 \qquad \forall u \in V,$$
$$\int_{\Omega} l \, \nabla \cdot v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} q l \, dx \qquad \forall l \in U.$$

Here $V \subset \{v \in (L^2)^d : \nabla \cdot v \in L^2, v \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega\}$ and $U \subset L^2$.

In MsMFEMs the approximation space for velocity $V = \text{span}\{\psi_{ij}\}$ is designed so that it embodies the impact of fine scale structures.





The fine scale velocity field is expressed as a linear superposition of the basis functions: $v = \sum_{ij} v_{ij} \psi_{ij}$ where the coefficients v_{ij} are obtained from the solution of the coarse scale system.







They are accurate: flow scenarios match closely fine grid simulations.

They are efficient: basis functions need to be computed only once.

They are flexible: unstructured and irregular grids are handled easily.

They are robust: suitable for modeling flow in porous media with very strong heterogeneous structures.



9 of 24

Conclusion I: Multiscale methods for elliptic equations provide a robust and efficient tool to get accurate velocity fields on fine grids,

... but solving the saturation equation on multi-million cell geomodels becomes a bottle-neck in large flow simulations.

Is it possible to develop a similar multiscale methodology for solving the saturation equation more efficiently?



A multiscale framework for the saturation equation





✓ back ►

A multiscale method for the saturation equation:

Assume that S^n is a saturation field on the fine grid $\{T\}$ at $t = t_n$, and denote non-degenerate fine grid interfaces by $\gamma_{ij} = \partial T_i \cap \partial T_j$.

1: For each K in the coarse grid, do

$$\bar{S}^{n+1}|_{K} = \bar{S}^{n}|_{K} + \frac{\Delta t}{\int_{K} \phi \, dx} \left[\int_{K} q_{w} \, dx - \sum_{\gamma_{ij} \subset \partial K} F_{ij}(S^{n}) \right],$$

where $F_{ij}(S) = \max\{f_w(S_i)v_{ij}, -f_w(S_j)v_{ij}\}.$

2: Map $\overline{S}^{n+1}|_K$ onto the fine grid: $S^{n+1}|_K = I_K(\overline{S}^{n+1})$.



The interpolation operators are defined by $I_K(\bar{S}) = \chi_K(x, t(\bar{S}))$, where χ_K is determined by

$$\phi \frac{\partial \chi_K}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [f_w v^0] = q_w \qquad \text{in } K^E = K \cup \{T : \partial K \cap \partial T \neq \emptyset\},$$

with $v^0 = v(S^0)$, $\chi^0_K = S^0$, and $f_w = 1$ on the inflow boundary

$$\Gamma_{\rm in}^E = \{\gamma_{ij} \subset \partial K^E : K_i \subset K^E, \ v_{ij} < 0\}.$$

The time $t(\bar{S})$ is determined by requiring mass conservation: $\int_{V} I_{K}(\bar{S})\phi \, dx = \bar{S} \int_{V} \phi \, dx.$



ৰ back 🕨

Test case: 10th SPE comparative solution project (model 2).





- Fine grid: $60 \times 220 \times 85$ (1.122 · 10⁶ fine grid cells.)
- Coarse grid: $6 \times 22 \times 17$ (2244 coarse grid blocks).
- Mobilities: $\lambda_w = S^2/\mu_w$ and $\lambda_o = (1-S)^2/\mu_o$.
- Viscosities: $\mu_w = 3.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $\mu_o = 3.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$.



To assess the accuracy of a solution S we compute the discrepancy between S and a reference solution S_{ref} using the following norms:

$$e_{F}(S(\cdot,t)) = \frac{\|S_{\text{ref}}(\cdot,t) - S(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}_{\phi}}}{\|S_{\text{ref}}(\cdot,t) - S_{\text{ref}}(\cdot,0)\|_{L^{2}_{\phi}}},$$
$$e_{C}(S(\cdot,t)) = \frac{\|\bar{S}_{\text{ref}}(\cdot,t) - \bar{S}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}_{\phi}}}{\|\bar{S}_{\text{ref}}(\cdot,t) - \bar{S}_{\text{ref}}(\cdot,0)\|_{L^{2}_{\phi}}}.$$

Here \overline{S} denotes the coarse grid saturations corresponding to S, and

$$||S||_{L^{2}_{\phi}}^{2} = \int_{\Omega} (S\phi)^{2} \, dx.$$



Results for pure multiscale algorithm:



The x-marks correspond to the standard upstream scheme on $\{K\}$.



Domain decomposition method for the saturation equation:

For all grid blocks K, let $K^E = K \cup \{T : \partial K \cap \partial T \neq \emptyset\}$, and do **1:** For $T_i \in K^E$, compute:

$$S_i^{n+1/2} = S_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\phi_i |T_i|} \left(\int_{T_i} q_w(S^{n+1/2}) \, dx - \sum_{j \neq i} F_{ij}^* \right),$$

where $F_{ij}^* = \begin{cases} F_{ij}(S^n) & \text{if } \gamma_{ij} \subset \partial K \text{ and } v_{ij} < 0. \\ F_{ij}(S^{n+1/2}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

2: For $T_i \in K^E$, set $S_i^{n+1} = S_i^{n+1/2}$.



back

Results for multiscale and domain decomposition algorithm:





Domain decomposition type localization procedures provide a natural environment for the development of adaptive schemes.





Adaptive algorithm:

- Use DD method in transient flow regions ($\Omega_{\rm fine}$).
- Update coarse grid saturation in regions with slow transients.
- Map saturation in Ω_{coarse} onto fine grid using $\{I_K\}$.

An adaptive multiscale method for the saturation equation

- **1**: Compute S^{n+1} in Ω_{fine} using the DD method.
- **2:** Set $S^{n+1} = S^n$ in Ω_{coarse} and compute

$$\bar{S}^{n+1}|_{K} = \bar{S}^{n}|_{K} + \frac{\Delta t}{\int_{K} \phi \, dx} \left[\int_{K} q_{w} \, dx - \sum_{\gamma_{ij} \subset \partial K} F_{ij}(S^{n+1}) \right].$$

3: Map $\overline{S}^{n+1}|_K$ onto the fine grid: $S^{n+1}|_K = I_K(\overline{S}^{n+1})$.



20 of 24

ৰ back 🕨

Results for the adaptive multiscale algorithm:





◄ back ▶

Water-cut curves: fraction of water in produced fluid.





Producer 2

Producer 4

1

1

0.5

0.5

00

 $\mathbf{0}$

0

0

A multiscale framework for the saturation equation







To run simulations directly on geological models require faster and more flexible simulators than what we have available today.



To run simulations directly on geological models require faster and more flexible simulators than what we have available today.

A multiscale method for the pressure equation together with an adaptive multiscale method for saturation equations may offer an alternative to upscaling based reservoir simulation.



To run simulations directly on geological models require faster and more flexible simulators than what we have available today.

A multiscale method for the pressure equation together with an adaptive multiscale method for saturation equations may offer an alternative to upscaling based reservoir simulation.

Acknowledgments: This work is done in collaboration with Stein Krogstad, Vegard Kippe and Knut-Andreas Lie at **SINTEF**.

