Multiscale Mixed Finite-Element Methods for Simulation of Flow in Highly Heterogeneous Porous Media

Knut-Andreas Lie

SINTEF ICT, Dept. Applied Mathematics

Fifth China-Norway-Sweden Workshop on Computational Mathematics, Lund, June 5–7, 2006



June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 1/30

Two-Phase Flow in Porous Media



Pressure equation:

$$-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = q, \qquad \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x})\lambda(S)\nabla p,$$

Fluid transport:

$$\phi \partial_t S + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} f(S)) = \varepsilon \nabla (\mathbf{D}(S, \mathbf{x}) \nabla S)$$



Physical Scales in Porous Media Flow

The scales that impact fluid flow in oil reservoirs range from

- the micrometer scale of pores and pore channels
- via dm-m scale of well bores and laminae sediments
- to sedimentary structures that stretch across entire reservoirs.





June 2006 ∢ □ ► 3/30

Geomodels consist of geometry and rock parameters (permeability \mathbf{K} and porosity ϕ):

• K spans many length scales and has multiscale structure

 $\mathsf{max}\,\mathbf{K}/\,\mathsf{min}\,\mathbf{K}\sim 10^3\text{--}10^{10}$

• Details on all scales impact flow





June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 4/30

Geomodels consist of geometry and rock parameters (permeability \mathbf{K} and porosity ϕ):

• K spans many length scales and has multiscale structure

 $\mathsf{max}\,\mathbf{K}/\,\mathsf{min}\,\mathbf{K}\sim 10^3\text{--}10^{10}$

• Details on all scales impact flow

Gap between simulation models and geomodels:

- High-resolution geomodels may have $10^7 10^9$ cells
- $\bullet\,$ Conventional simulators are capable of about $10^5-10^6\,$ cells

Traditional solution: upscaling of parameters



SINTEF

June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 4/30

Upscaling: combine cells to derive coarse grid, determine effective cell properties

Assume that p satisfies the elliptic PDE:

$$-\nabla \big(K(x) \nabla p \big) = q.$$

Upscaling amounts to finding a new field $K^*(\bar{x})$ on a coarser grid such that

$$-\nabla \big(K^*(\bar{x}) \nabla p^* \big) = \bar{q},$$

 $p^* \sim \bar{p}, \qquad \mathbf{v}^* \sim \bar{\mathbf{v}} \;.$



Here the overbar denotes averaged quantities on a coarse grid.

June 2006 ∢ □ ► 5/30

Upscaling the Pressure Equation, cont'd

How do we represent fine-scale heterogeneities on a coarse scale?

• Arithmetic, geometric, harmonic, or power averaging

$$K^* = \left(\frac{1}{|V|} \int_V K(x)^p \ dx\right)^{1/p}$$

• Equivalent permeabilities ($K_{xx}^* = -Q_x L_x / \Delta P_x$)



June 2006 ∢ □ >

6/30



Vision:

Direct simulation of fluid flow on high-resolution geomodels of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media in 3D.

Why multiscale methods?

Small-scale variations in the permeability can have a strong impact on large-scale flow and should be resolved properly. Observation:

- the pressure may be well resolved on a coarse grid
- the fluid transport should be solved on the finest scale possible

Thus: a multiscale method for the pressure equation should provide velocity fields that can be used to simulate flow on a fine scale



June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 7/30

We seek a multiscale methodology that:

- incorporates small-scale effects into the discretisation on a coarse scale
- gives a detailed image of the flow pattern on the fine scale, without having to solve the full fine-scale system
- is robust and flexible with respect to the coarse grid
- is robust and flexible with respect to the fine grid and the fine-grid solver
- is accurate and conservative
- is fast and easy to parallelise

June 2006 ∢ □ ► 8/30

Standard upscaling:





June 2006 ∢ □ > 9/30

Standard upscaling:



₽

Coarse grid blocks:



Standard upscaling:



₽

Coarse grid blocks:





Flow problems:







June 2006 ∢ □ > 9/30

Standard upscaling:



↓ ↑

Coarse grid blocks:



↓ ↑

Flow problems:





Standard upscaling:





Coarse grid blocks:





Flow problems:







Standard upscaling:



↓ ↑

Multiscale method:



Coarse grid blocks:





Flow problems:







June 2006 ∢ □ > 9/30

Standard upscaling:



Multiscale method:



↓ ↑

Coarse grid blocks:



↓ ↑

Flow problems:

O SINTEF





Coarse grid blocks:









Applied Mathematics

June 2006 ∢ □ > 9/30

Standard upscaling:



Multiscale method:



↓ ↑

Coarse grid blocks:



↓ ↑

Flow problems:









June 2006 ∢ □ > ____

9/30

Standard upscaling:



↓ ↑

Coarse grid blocks:





Flow problems:

() SINTEF





Multiscale method:





Coarse grid blocks:











Applied Mathematics

June 2006 ∢ □ > 9/30

Mixed formulation:

Find $(v, p) \in H_0^{1, \operatorname{div}} \times L^2$ such that

$$\int (\lambda K)^{-1} u \cdot v \, dx - \int p \nabla \cdot u \, dx = 0, \qquad \forall u \in H_0^{1, \text{div}},$$
$$\int \ell \nabla \cdot v \, dx = \int q \ell \, dx, \quad \forall \ell \in L^2.$$

Multiscale discretisation:

Seek solutions in low-dimensional subspaces

$$U^{ms} \subset H_0^{1,\operatorname{div}} \text{ and } V \in L^2,$$

where local fine-scale properties are incorporated into the basis functions.



June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 10/30

(Multiscale) Mixed Finite Elements Discretisation matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ C^T & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad b_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} \psi_i (\lambda K)^{-1} \psi_j \, dx, \\ c_{ik} = \int_{\Omega} \phi_k \nabla \cdot \psi_i \, dx$$

Basis ϕ_k for pressure: equal one in cell k, zero otherwise Basis ψ_i for velocity:





ſ



June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 11/30





June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 12/30



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces V and U^{ms} such that:



June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 12/30



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces V and U^{ms} such that:

• For each coarse block T_i , there is a basis function $\phi_i \in V$.





We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces V and U^{ms} such that:

- For each coarse block T_i , there is a basis function $\phi_i \in V$.
- For each coarse edge Γ_{ij} , there is a basis function $\psi_{ij} \in U^{ms}$.

Multiscale Mixed Finite Elements Basis for the Velocity Field

For each coarse edge Γ_{ij} , define a basis function

$$\psi_{ij}: T_i \cup T_j \to R^2$$

with unit flux through Γ_{ij} and no flow across $\partial(T_i \cup T_j)$.

We use $\psi_{ij}=-\lambda K\nabla\phi_{ij}$ with

$$\nabla \cdot \psi_{ij} = \begin{cases} w_i(x), & \text{ for } x \in T_i, \\ -w_j(x), & \text{ for } x \in T_j, \end{cases}$$

with boundary conditions $\psi_{ij} \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial(T_i \cup T_j)$.

Global velocity:

$$v = \sum_{ij} v_{ij} \psi_{ij}$$
, where v_{ij} are (coarse-scale) coefficients.

June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 13/30

Multiscale Mixed Finite Elements

Basis for Velocity - the Source Weights.

If T_i contains a source, i.e., $\int_{T_i} q dx \neq \mathbf{0},$ then

$$w_i(x) = rac{q(x)}{\int_{T_i} q(\xi) d\xi}$$

Otherwise we may choose

$$w_i(x) = \frac{1}{|T_i|}$$

or to avoid high flow through low-perm regions

$$w_i(x) = \frac{\operatorname{trace}(K(x))}{\int_{T_i} \operatorname{trace}(K(\xi)) d\xi}$$





The latter is more accurate - even for strong anisotropy.



() SINTEF

June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 14/30

Advantage: Accuracy 10th SPE Comparative Solution Project



- Geomodel: $60 \times 220 \times 85 \approx 1,1$ million grid cells
- Simulation: 2000 days of production

June 2006 < □ > 15/30

Advantage: Accuracy SPE10 Benchmark ($5 \times 11 \times 17$ Coarse Grid)



() SINTEF

Applied Mathematics

June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 16/30

Question:

Does refining the coarse grid increase accuracy?



() SINTEF

June 2006 < □ > 17/30

Advantage: Robustness SPE10, Layer 85 (60 × 220 Grid)

Logarithm of horizontal permeability



Coarse grid (12 x 44) saturation profile



Coarse grid (6 x 22) saturation profile



Coarse grid (3 x 11) saturation profile



Reference saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile





June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 18/30

Direct solution may be more efficient, so why bother with multiscale?

- Full simulation: $O(10^2)$ time steps.
- Basis functions need not be recomputed

Also:

- Possible to solve very large problems
- Easy parallelization



Order-of-magnitude argument: 128 \times 128 \times 128 grid, linear algebra of complexity $\mathcal{O}(n^{1.2}).$



June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 19/30

Corner-Point Grids Industry standard for modelling complex reservoir geology

Specified in terms of:

- areal 2D mesh of vertical or inclined pillars
- each volumetric cell is restriced by four pillars
- each cell is defined by eight corner points, two on each pillar







June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 20/30

Accurate simulation of industry-standard grid models is challenging!



Our approach: mixed finite elements and/or mimetic methods I. Aavatsmark (after lunch): multipoint finite-volume methods

SINTEF

- Can use standard mixed FEM for many geometries provided that one has
 - mappings (Piola transforms)
 - reference elements
- Subdivision of corner-point cells into tetrahedra
- Mimetic finite differences (recent work by Brezzi, Lipnikov, Shashkov, Simoncini)



Mimetic Finite Differences

Let u, v be piecewise linear vector functions and \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} be the corresponding vectors of discrete velocities over faces in the grid, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \frac{1}{|e_k|} \int_{e_k} v(s) \cdot n \, ds$$

Then the block ${\bf B}$ in the mixed system satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} v^T K^{-1} u = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u} \qquad \left(= \sum_{E \in \Omega} \mathbf{v}_E^T \mathbf{B}_E \mathbf{u}_E \right)$$

The matrices \mathbf{B}_E define discrete inner products

Mimetic idea:

Replace \mathbf{B}_E with some \mathbf{M}_E that mimics some properties of the continuous inner product (SPD, globally bounded, Gauss-Green for linear pressure)



June 2006 < □ > 23/30

Multiscale Mixed FEM on Corner-Point Grids



Subdivision strategy:

- implicitly assumes each face to be piecewise planar
- must split every non-degenerate cell in six (or five) tetrahedrons

Mimetic strategy:

- either assume faces piecewise planer or curved
- one degree of freedom per moderately curved face
- easy to deal with non-matching faces
- the discrete inner product can be used on the coarse scale in conjunction with any subgrid solver



Accuracy on a $32 \times 32 \times 8$ Model









RT0 MFEM	Homogeneous		Log-n	ormal	Fluvial	
Coarse grid	e(v)	e(s)	e(v)	e(s)	e(v)	e(s)
16 imes 16 imes 4	0.1233	0.0161	0.1915	0.0495	0.4069	0.2299
$8 \times 8 \times 2$	0.1300	0.0206	0.2769	0.1087	0.3845	0.2904
$4 \times 4 \times 1$	0.1070	0.0225	0.2135	0.1501	0.3046	0.2420
$2 \times 2 \times 1$	0.0112	0.0090	0.1111	0.0724	0.1564	0.0680
Mimetic FDM	e(v)	e(s)	e(v)	e(s)	e(v)	e(s)
16 imes 16 imes 4	0.1152	0.0193	0.1963	0.0532	0.4143	0.2278
$8 \times 8 \times 2$	0.1282	0.0213	0.3174	0.1157	0.4742	0.3607
$4 \times 4 \times 1$	0.1070	0.0249	0.2212	0.1582	0.3119	0.2442
$2 \times 2 \times 1$	0.0111	0.0103	0.1214	0.0751	0.1589	0.0679

Advantage: Flexibility

Multiscale mixed/mimetic formulation: coarse grid = union of cells in fine grid

- Given a numerical method that works on the fine grid, the implementation is straightforward.
- One avoids resampling when going from fine to coarse grid, and vice versa



Other formulations:

Finite-volume methods: based upon *dual grid* \longrightarrow special cases that complicate the implementation in the presence of faults, local refinements, etc.



June 2006 < □ > 26/30

Corner-point grid model:



Coarse-grid cells:





Flexibility wrt. Grids Around Flow Barriers, Fractures, etc







June 2006 ◀ □ ▶ 28/30

Flexibility wrt. Grids Around Wells





June 2006 ∢ □ ▶ 29/30

Future work Extension to faults and fracture networks



¹Grid model courtesy of M. Karimi-Fard, Stanford



June 2006 ∢ □ > 30/30