Use of Multiscale Methods to Bypass Upscaling Or as a Means to Provide Fast and Approximate Flow Responses in Optimization Workflows?

#### Knut-Andreas Lie

SINTEF ICT, Dept. Applied Mathematics

### Workshop on Numerical Discretization and Upscaling Methods, Princeton, November 1-2



Applied Mathematics

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 1/62

# Reservoir Simulation Group

Direct simulation of geomodels

Research group

- 3 researchers
- 4 postdocs
- 2-3 PhD students
- 3 programmers



Collaboration with national and international partners in industry and academia

### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.

http://www.math.sintef.no/GeoScale/

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 2/62

### Reservoir Simulation Group Direct simulation of geomodels

### **Applications:**

- Validation during development of geomodels
- Fast simulations of multiple realizations
- Optimization of production, well placement, etc
- History matching
- Geological storage of CO<sub>2</sub>

Funding:

- Strategic research grant and PhD/postdoc grants
- Research grants with end-user involvement
- Industry projects

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.

Geological models as direct input to ....

.... efficient multiscale simulation techniques



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 4/62

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.

Geological models as direct input to ....

.... efficient multiscale simulation techniques



Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 4/62

The scales that impact fluid flow in oil reservoirs range from

- the micrometer scale of pores and pore channels
- via dm-m scale of well bores and laminae sediments
- to sedimentary structures that stretch across entire reservoirs.





Geomodels:

- are articulations of the experts perception of the reservoir
- describe the reservoir geometry (horizons, faults, etc)
- give rock parameters (e.g., permeability K and porosity φ) that determine flow



In the following: the term "geomodel" will designate a grid model where rock properties have been assigned to each cell

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 6/62

Rock properties are used as parameters in flow models

• Permeability K spans many length scales and have multiscale structure

 $\mathsf{max}\,\mathbf{K}/\,\mathsf{min}\,\mathbf{K}\sim 10^3\text{--}10^{10}$ 

• Details on all scales impact flow

#### Ex: Brent sequence



#### Tarbert

Upper Ness

### **Challenges:**

- How much details should one use?
- Need for good linear solvers, preconditioners, etc.

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 7/62

### Geological Models as Direct Input to Simulation Gap in resolution and model sizes

### Gap in resolution:

SINTEF

- High-resolution geomodels may have  $10^7 10^9$  cells
- ullet Conventional simulators are capable of about  $10^5-10^6$  cells

Applied Mathematics

### Traditional solution: upscaling of parameters

- Upscaling the geomodel is not always the answer
  - Loss of details and lack of robustness
  - Bottleneck in the workflow
- Need for fine-scale computations?
- In the future: need for multiphysics on multiple scales?



### Geological Models as Direct Input to Simulation Complex reservoir geometries

### **Challenges:**

- Industry-standard grids are often nonconforming and contain skewed and degenerate cells
- There is a trend towards unstructured grids
- Standard discretization methods produce wrong results on skewed and rough cells
- The combination of high aspect and anisotropy ratios can give very large condition numbers



Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶

Specified in terms of:

- areal 2D mesh of vertical or inclined pillars
- each volumetric cell is restriced by four pillars
- each cell is defined by eight corner points, two on each pillar







Nov 2007 < D > 10/62

### Discretisation on Corner-Point Grids Cell geometries are challenging from a discretization point-of-view



Very high aspect ratios (and centroid outside the cell):





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 11/62

Mimetic finite-difference methods may be interpreted as a finite-volume counterpart of mixed finite-element methods.

### Key features:

- Applicable for models with general polyhedral grid-cells.
- Allow easy treatment of non-conforming grids with complex grid-cell geometries (including curved faces).
- Generic implementation: same code applies to all grids (e.g., corner-point/PEBI, matching/non-matching, ...).



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 12/62

Express fluxes 
$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T$$
 as:

$$\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{p} - p_0),$$

where  $p = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)^T$ .





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 13/62

Express fluxes 
$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T$$
 as:

$$\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{p} - p_0),$$

where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)^T$ . Impose exactness for any *linear* pressure field  $p = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a} + c$  (which gives velocity equal -Ka):

$$v_i = -A_i \mathbf{n}_i^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{a}$$
  
 $p_i - p_0 = (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{a}.$ 





Express fluxes 
$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T$$
 as:

$$\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{p} - p_0),$$

where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)^T$ . Impose exactness for any *linear* pressure field  $p = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a} + c$  (which gives velocity equal -Ka):  $v_i = -A_i \mathbf{n}_i^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{a}$ 

$$p_i - p_0 = (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{a}.$$

As a result,  $\mathbf{T}$  must satisfy

$$\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{K}$$

where 
$$\mathbf{C}(i,:) = (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^T$$
 and  $\mathbf{N}(i,:) = A_i \mathbf{n}_i^T$ 



#### SINTEF

Express fluxes 
$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T$$
 as:

$$\mathbf{v}=-\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{p}-p_0),$$

where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)^T$ . Impose exactness for any *linear* pressure field  $p = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a} + c$  (which gives velocity equal  $-\mathbf{K}\mathbf{a}$ ):  $v_i = -A_i \mathbf{n}_i^T \mathbf{K}\mathbf{a}$  $p_i - p_0 = (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{a}$ .

As a result, T must satisfy

$$\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{K}$$

where 
$$\mathbf{C}(i,:) = (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^T$$
 and  $\mathbf{N}(i,:) = A_i \mathbf{n}_i^T$ 

Family of valid solutions:  $\mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{|E|} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{T}_2,$ 

where  $\mathbf{T}_2$  is such that  $\mathbf{T}$  is s.p.d. and  $\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{O}$ .

#### SINTEF

Express fluxes 
$$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T$$
 as:

$$\mathbf{v}=-\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{p}-p_0),$$

where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)^T$ . Impose exactness for any *linear* pressure field  $p = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a} + c$  (which gives velocity equal  $-\mathbf{K}\mathbf{a}$ ):

$$v_i = -A_i \mathbf{n}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{a}$$
  
 $p_i - p_0 = (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{a}.$ 

As a result,  $\mathbf{T}$  must satisfy

$$\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{K}$$

where 
$$\mathbf{C}(i,:) = (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0)^T$$
 and  $\mathbf{N}(i,:) = A_i \mathbf{n}_i^T$ 

Family of valid solutions:  $\mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{|E|} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{T}_2,$ 

where  $\mathbf{T}_2$  is such that  $\mathbf{T}$  is s.p.d. and  $\mathbf{T}_2\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{O}.$ 

Imposing continuity across edges/faces and conservation yields a *hybrid* system:

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{B}^T & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C}^T & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{cells}} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mathsf{faces}} \end{array} \right) = \mathsf{RHS}$$

 $\label{eq:Reduces} \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \mbox{Reduces to s.p.d. system for} \\ \mathbf{p}_{\mbox{faces}}. \end{array}$ 

SINTEF

### Mimetic Finite Difference Methods General method applicable to general polyhedral cells

### Standard method + skew grids = grid-orientation effects



 $\mathbf{K}$ : homogeneous and isotropic, symmetric well pattern  $\longrightarrow$  symmetric flow





Streamlines with two-point method



Streamlines with mimetic method





 There is freedom in choosing the inner product  $(T_2)$ , so that e.g.,

- MFDM coincides with TPFA on Cartesian grids
- MFDM coincides with MFEM on Cartesian grids

Positive definite system is guaranteed. Monotonicity properties are similar as for MPFA.

### Challenge:

Local adjustment of the inner product to reduce the condition number (and appearance of cycles) on complex grids.



Nov 2007 < D > 15/62

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.

Geological models as direct input to ....

.... efficient multiscale simulation techniques



Applied Mathematics

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 16/62

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.

Geological models as direct input to ....

.... efficient multiscale simulation techniques

multiscale pressure solver

fast transport solvers



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 16/62

### Key Technology: Multiscale Pressure Solvers Efficient flow solution on complex grids – without upscaling

### Basic idea:

- Upscaling and downscaling in one step
- Pressure on coarse grid (subresolution near wells)
- Velocity with subgrid resolution everywhere

### Example: Layer 36 from SPE 10

Pressure field computed with mimetic FDM



Pressure field computed with 4M

Velocity field computed with mimetic FDM



Velocity field computed with 4M





Nov 2007 < □ ► 17/62

### Standard upscaling:





Nov 2007 < D > 18/62

### Standard upscaling:



### ₽

Coarse grid blocks:



### Standard upscaling:



### ₽

Coarse grid blocks:





Flow problems:





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 18/62

### Standard upscaling:





Coarse grid blocks:



## ↓ ↑

Flow problems:





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 18/62

### Standard upscaling:



### ↓ ↑

Coarse grid blocks:



## ↓ ↑

Flow problems:





#### () SINTEF

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 18/62

### Standard upscaling:



## ↓ ↑

Coarse grid blocks:



## ↓ ↑

Flow problems:







Nov 2007 < D > 18/62

### Standard upscaling:



↓ ↑

### Multiscale method:



### Coarse grid blocks:



### ↓ ↑

Flow problems:





#### () SINTEF

Nov 2007 < D > 18/62

### **Standard upscaling:**

Coarse grid blocks:



↓ ↑

↓ ↑

### Multiscale method:



Coarse grid blocks:























P=1

Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 18/62

### Standard upscaling:



### Multiscale method:



## ↓ ↑

Coarse grid blocks:



↓ ↑

Flow problems:









Nov 2007 < D > 18/62

### **Standard upscaling:**



↓ ↑

### Multiscale method:





Coarse grid blocks:







q=1

Flow problems:

 $\alpha = 1$ 







↓ ↑ Flow problems:

**()** SINTEF

Coarse grid blocks:





Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 18/62

# Multiscale Mixed/Mimetic Pressure Solvers

#### Advantages

### Ability to handle industry-standard grids

- highly skewed and degenerate cells
- non-matching cells and unstructured connectivities

### Compatible with current solvers

- can be built on top of commercial/inhouse solvers
- can utilize existing linear solvers

### More efficient than standard solvers

- automated generation of coarse simulation grids
- easy to parallelize
- less memory requirements than fine-grid solvers



Assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine-grid block:





Assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine-grid block:



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces U and  $V^{ms}$  such that:


Assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine-grid block:



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces U and  $V^{ms}$  such that:

• For each coarse block  $T_i$ , there is a basis function  $\phi_i \in U$ .



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 20/62

Assume we are given a *fine* grid with permeability and porosity attached to each fine-grid block:



We construct a *coarse* grid, and choose the discretisation spaces U and  $V^{ms}$  such that:

- For each coarse block  $T_i$ , there is a basis function  $\phi_i \in U$ .
- For each coarse edge  $\Gamma_{ij}$ , there is a basis function  $\psi_{ij} \in V^{ms}$ .

#### Multiscale Mixed Finite Elements Basis for the velocity field

Velocity basis function  $\psi_{ij}$ : unit flow through  $\Gamma_{ij}$  defined as

$$\nabla \cdot \psi_{ij} = \begin{cases} w_i(x), & \text{ for } x \in T_i, \\ -w_j(x), & \text{ for } x \in T_j, \end{cases}$$

and no flow 
$$\psi_{ij} \cdot n = 0$$
 on  $\partial(T_i \cup T_j)$ .

Multiscale space:  $V^{ms} = \text{span}\{\psi_{ij} = -\lambda K \nabla \phi_{ij}\}$ 



#### Global velocity:

 $v = \sum_{ij} v_{ij} \psi_{ij}$ , where  $v_{ij}$  are (coarse-scale) coefficients.

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 21/62

#### Multiscale Mixed Finite Elements Equation: $\nabla \cdot v = q$ , $v = -K\lambda \nabla p$

Discretisation matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & C \\ C^T & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix},$$

$$b_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} \psi_i K^{-1} \psi_j \, dx,$$
  
$$c_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} \phi_j \nabla \cdot \psi_i \, dx$$

#### Subgrid solvers on corner-point grids

- MFEM on tetrahedral subdivision of hexahedral cells
- TPFA or MPFA finite-volume methods
- mimetic finite-difference methods

can all be recast in mixed form as a *discrete* approximation of the bilinear form

$$\int_{\Omega} u^T (\lambda \mathbf{K})^{-1} v \approx \sum_{\Gamma_i} \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{M}_i \mathbf{v}_i,$$

using fluxes  $\mathbf{u}_i$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i$  over cell-faces  $\Gamma_i$ 

#### SINTEF

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 22/62

# Multiscale Mixed/Mimetic Method Workflow

#### At initial time:







#### For each time step:

- (Recompute basis functions)
- Assemble and solve coarse-grid system
- Recover fine-grid velocity
- Solve fluid-transport equations

Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▷ 23/62

Computational efficiency on a  $128 \times 128 \times 128$  example



Multiscale solvers are not necessarily faster than a good direct solver for a *single* pressure solution

#### () SINTEF

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 24/62

Direct solution may be more efficient, so why bother with multiscale?

- Full simulation:  $O(10^2)$  time steps.
- Basis functions need not be recomputed

Also:

- Possible to solve very large problems
- Easy parallelization





#### Water cuts obtained by *never* updating basis functions:





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 26/62

#### Improved accuracy by *adaptive* updating of basis functions:





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 27/62

# Application 1: Fast Reservoir Simulation on Geomodels 10<sup>th</sup> SPE Comparative Solution Project





Nov 2007 < D > 28/62

#### Application 1: Fast Reservoir Simulation on Geomodels Robustness wrt coarse grid on Layer 85

Logarithm of horizontal permeability



Coarse grid (12 x 44) saturation profile



Coarse grid (6 x 22) saturation profile



Coarse grid (3 x 11) saturation profile



Reference saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile



MsMFEM saturation profile





Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▷ 29/62

#### Application 1: Fast Reservoir Simulation on Geomodels Robustness wrt heterogeneity





Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 30/62

### Application 1: Fast Reservoir Simulation on Geomodels

Three-phase black-oil simulation on real-field model





Nov 2007 🔍 🗆 🕨 31/62

#### Application 2: Automated Generation of Coarse Grids Block in coarse grid: connected set of cells from geomodel

#### Coarse grid = uniform partitioning in index space





Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 32/62

#### Application 2: Automated Generation of Coarse Grids Wavy depositional bed, a real-life model

Coarse grid	Isotropic	Anisotropic	Heterogeneous
10  imes 10  imes 10	0.026	0.143	0.094
$6 \times 6 \times 2$	0.042	0.169	0.141
$3 \times 3 \times 1$	0.065	0.127	0.106
5 imes5 imes10	0.060	0.138	0.142





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 33/62

#### Application 2: Automated Generation of Coarse Grids Simple guidelines for choosing good coarse grids

- Minimize bidirectional flow over interfaces:
  - Avoid unnecessary irregularity ( $\Gamma_{6,7}$  and  $\Gamma_{3,8})$
  - Avoid single neighbors  $(T_4)$
  - Ensure that there are faces transverse to flow direction (T<sub>5</sub>)
- Blocks and faces should follow geological layers (T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>)
- Blocks should adapt to flow obstacles whenever possible
- For efficiency: minimize the number of connections
- Avoid having too many small blocks





#### 🕥 SINTEF

### Application 3: Near-Well Modelling / Improved Well-Model

# Fine grid to annulus, block for each well segment

- No well model needed.
- Drift-flux wellbore flow.









Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 35/62

#### Application 4: History Matching on Geological Models Generalized travel-time inversion on million-cell model

#### Assimilation of production data to calibrate model

- 1 million cells, 32 injectors, and 69 producers
- $\bullet~2475~\text{days}\approx7$  years of water-cut data

Analytical sensitivities along streamlines + travel-time inversion (quasi-linearization of misfit functional)



**Computation time:**  $\sim$  17 min on a desktop PC (6 iterations).

 Challenges and unresolved problems

Three-phase black-oil:

- Up and running on real-field models from industry
- More work is needed with respect to accuracy (strong pressure gradients, adaptivitiy, etc)

Modelling of wells:

- Several solutions (one block per perforation, wells created as inner boundary conditions, etc)
- Will investigate adaptivity to increase robustness/accuracy

Fractures and faults:

- Using mimetic: mostly a question of grid preprocessing
- Inclusion of capillary forces
- Extentions to Stokes-Brinkman using Taylor-Hood elements

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.

Geological models as direct input to ....

.... efficient multiscale simulation techniques

multiscale pressure solver

fast transport solvers



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 38/62

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.

Geological models as direct input to ....

.... efficient multiscale simulation techniques

nonunform coarsening reordering streamlines



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 38/62

#### Adaptive Model Reduction of Transport Grids Flow-based nonuniform coarsening

#### Task

Given the ability to model velocity on geomodels and transport on coarse grids:

Find a suitable coarse grid that best resolves fluid transport and minimizes accuracy loss.

#### SPE 10, Layer 37

 Logarithm of permeability: Layer 37 in SPE10
 Logarithm of velocity on geomodel

 Logarithm of velocity on non-uniform coarse grid: 208 cells
 Logarithm of velocity on Cartesian coarse grid: 220 cells



#### **Step 1:** Segment $\ln |v|$ into N level sets



Robust choice: N = 10

Step 1: 1411 cells



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 40/62

#### **Step 1:** Segment $\ln |v|$ into N level sets



Robust choice: N = 10

Step 1: 1411 cells

#### **Step 2:** Combine small blocks (|B| < c) with a neighbour



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Merge } B \text{ and } B' \text{ if} \\ \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B \ln |v| \approx \\ \frac{1}{|B'|} \int_{B'} \ln |v| \end{array}$ 

Step 2: 94 cells

**()** SINTEF

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 40/62

#### **Step 3:** Refine blocks with too much flow $(\int_B \ln |v| dx > C)$



Build B' inwards from  $\partial B$ Restart with  $B = B \setminus B'$ 

Step 3: 249 cells



#### **Step 3:** Refine blocks with too much flow $(\int_B \ln |v| dx > C)$



Build B' inwards from  $\partial B$ Restart with  $B = B \setminus B'$ 

Step 3: 249 cells

#### Step 4: Combine small blocks with a neighbouring block



Step 2 repeated

Step 4: 160 cells

**()** SINTEF

Applied Mathematics

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 41/62

#### Adaptive Model Reduction of Transport Grids Example 1: Layer 68, SPE10, 5-spot well pattern



Geomodel:  $60 \times 220 = 13200$ 

Uniform:  $15 \times 44 = 660$ 

Non-uniform: 619–734 blocks

#### **Observations:**

- First 35 layers: 22  $\Rightarrow$  uniform grid adequate.
- Last 50 layers:  $\implies$  uniform grid inadequate.
- Non-uniform grid gives consistent results for all layers.

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 42/62

#### Adaptive Model Reduction of Transport Grids Example 1: Layer 68, SPE10, 5-spot well pattern

Logarithm of permeability: Layer 68



Geomodel: 13200 cells

Logarithm of velocity on Cartesian coarse grid



Coarse grid: 660 cells

Logarithm of velocity on geomodel



Logarithm of velocity on non-uniform coarse grid



Coarse grid: 649 cells



Coarse grid: 264 cells

Logarithm of velocity on non-uniform coarse grid



Coarse grid: 257 cells

() SINTEF

Applied Mathematics

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 43/62

### Adaptive Model Reduction of Transport Grids Example 2: Depositional bed, 20 lognormal realizations, q5-spot



#### **Observations:**

- Coarsening algorithm applicable to unstructured grids
  - accuracy consistent with observations for SPE10 models.
- Results obtained with uniform grid (in index space) inaccurate.

#### Adaptive Model Reduction of Transport Grids Example 3: Fracture networks







**()** SINTEF

Applied Mathematics

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 45/62

#### Adaptive Model Reduction of Transport Grids Opportunities and unresolved questions

#### Opportunities

- Utilization within optimization and data integration workflows?
- Adaptive model reduction as alternative to proxy models?

#### Unresolved questions

- Capillary forces initial ideas are promising
- Three-phase black oil not tested yet
- Applicability to grids with large differences in cell sizes



Nov 2007 4 D > 46/62

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 47/62

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 47/62

### Fast Methods Based on Topological Sorting

Flow models are typically on the form

$$au + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f(u) = b(u), \qquad u \text{ given on } \partial \Omega^-$$

Examples:

- Steady-state tracer:  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla c = \mathbf{0}$
- Time-of-flight:  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \tau = \phi$
- Implicit schemes for multiphase/multicomponent transport:

$$S^{n+1} + \Delta t \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f(S^{n+1}) = \Delta t q(S^{n+1}) + S^n$$

#### Basic idea

- Utilize the unidirectional flow property to solve cell by cell
- High order: discontinous Galerkin + upwind flux to preserve unidirectional flow property

#### 🕥 SINTEF

Nov 2007 4 🗆 🕨 48/62

## Fast Methods Based on Topological Sorting Motivation: Implicit scheme in 1D



First-order upwind scheme ( $v_k > 0$ ,  $\forall k$ ):

$$\frac{\phi}{\Delta t}(S_k^{n+1} - S_k^n) + \frac{1}{\Delta x}\left(v_{k-1}f(S_{k-1}^{n+1}) - v_kf(S_k^{n+1})\right) = Q_k(S_k^{n+1})$$

Lower triangular matrix  $\implies$  equations can be solved in sequence



Nov 2007 ◀ □ ▶ 49/62

# Fast Methods Based on Topological Sorting Motivation: Implicit scheme in 1D



First-order upwind scheme ( $v_k > 0$ ,  $\forall k$ ):

$$\frac{\phi}{\Delta t}(S_k^{n+1} - S_k^n) + \frac{1}{\Delta x}\left(v_{k-1}f(S_{k-1}^{n+1}) - v_kf(S_k^{n+1})\right) = Q_k(S_k^{n+1})$$

Lower triangular matrix  $\implies$  equations can be solved in sequence

#### Multidimensions

Same idea applies by using a *topological sort* of the directed graph of fluxes



Nov 2007 4 D > 49/62
### Grid and flux matrix



### **Graph interpretation**





#### **()** SINTEF

Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 50/62

### Grid and flux matrix



**Graph interpretation** 



#### **()** SINTEF

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

#### () SINTEF

#### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

#### () SINTEF

#### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

#### () SINTEF

#### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

#### () SINTEF

#### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

#### () SINTEF

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting

1



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

#### () SINTEF

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

 $1 \rightarrow 4$ 

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

 $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7$ 

SINTEF

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

 $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8$ 

🕥 SINTEF

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

 $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8$ 



### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

 $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8$ 



### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

 $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8$ 

**()** SINTEF

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



Flattened graph (unidirectional)

 $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8$ 



### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting





SINTEF

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



SINTEF



### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting



SINTEF



Applied Mathematics

### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting





### Grid and flux matrix



Graph interpretation and topological sorting





### Fast Methods Based on Topological Sorting Application 1: Delineation of reservoir volumes



SPE 10, Model 2,  $60 \times 220 \times 85$  (1.122 million grid blocks)

Stationary tracer:	Timir	ngs:		
Solve $\nabla x$ for invelle		order	dof's	time
Solve $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} c = q_i$ for <i>i</i> wens		0	1	3.1 sec
Contour $c = 0.5$		1	4	9.9 sec
		2	10	86.8 sec
Scheme: $dG(n)$ +upwinding	CPU: AMD Athlon X2 4400+			

#### **()** SINTEF

### Time-of-flight

- Travel time for a neutral particle injected at boundary/well
- Timelines for single phase flow



Layer 1 of SPE 10



 $64\times 64\times 16$  grid, vertical q5-spot



Nov 2007 < D > 53/62

### Key idea:

Implicit time discretization: element-wise Newton-Raphson solution gives high efficiency.

- $\mathcal{O}(n)$  operations for n unknowns
- Local control over Newton iteration.
- Small memory requirements.
- Small, simple code.
- Well-known conservative discretisation.
- Valid for general polyhedral grids.

#### Water-cut, SPE 10, Model 2





### Fast Methods Based on Topological Sorting Application 4: Fracture networks





Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 55/62

## Opportunities

- Simple way of generating streamline-type data
- Utilization within optimization and data integration workflows?

### Unresolved questions

- Efficient linear solvers for various loop sizes
- Elimination/reduction of loops
- How to prevent oscillations for dG(n), n > 1
- Operator splitting (capillary/gravity forces)

Nov 2007 ◀ □ ► 56/62

How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.





How to approach this vision ...

#### **Research vision:**

Direct simulation of complex grid models of highly heterogeneous and fractured porous media - a technology that bypasses the need for upscaling.





- Pollock (88) analytic tracing of streamlines on Cartesian grids
  - linear interpolation of fluxes in each direction
  - analytical formula for increment within each cell
- Prevost et al. (02) extension of Pollock's method to irregular grids
  - isoparametric transformation to unit reference cube
  - linear flux interpolation scaled by Jacobi determinant at element midpoint
  - analytic streamline path mapped to physical space
- Jimenez et al. (05,08)
  - pseudo-time of flight (improved Jacobi)
  - tracing across faults (collapsed cells)
- Matringe et al. (05,06) higher-order MFEM velocity spaces
- Hægland et al. (07) corner-velocity interpolation

Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 58/62

To handle degenerate and nonmatching cells, we:

- Subdivide faces/edges to make matching corner-point grid
- Use a global Delaney triagularization
  → each regular hexahedral cell is
  subdivided into six tetrahedra
- Reconstruct fluxes on tetrahedra
- Trace streamlines analytically within each tetrahedron (constant velocity)

This approach should preserve uniform flow





Future apporach: Pollock (or similar) for regular cells, tetrahedral reconstruction for degenerate and nonmatching cells?

Streamline simulation for CO<sub>2</sub>:

- efficient 1-D solvers for operator splitting
- transition from injection- to gravity-driven flow
- circular streamlines



The research will enable simulator technology to better aid more work processes – by striking balances between reduced computational time, geological representation, and complexity of flow physics

A key to efficient simulation methods - operator splitting:

- Multiscale pressure solvers:
  - Upscaling and downscaling in one step
  - Robust and efficient alternative to upscaling
  - Flow field on coarse, intermediate, and fine grid
- Fast transport solvers, (coarse-intermediate-fine grids):
  - Adaptive nonuniform coarsening
  - Discontinuous Galerkin with topological sorting
  - Streamlines

# Summary





Nov 2007 ∢ □ ▶ 62/62